

ON THE GOTZMANN THRESHOLD OF MONOMIALS

Vittoria Bonanzinga, Shalom Eliahou

▶ To cite this version:

Vittoria Bonanzinga, Shalom Eliahou. ON THE GOTZMANN THRESHOLD OF MONOMIALS. 2024. hal-04505191

HAL Id: hal-04505191 https://ulco.hal.science/hal-04505191

Preprint submitted on 14 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE GOTZMANN THRESHOLD OF MONOMIALS

V. BONANZINGA AND S. ELIAHOU

ABSTRACT. Let $R_n = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the *n*-variable polynomial ring over a field K. Let S_n denote the set of monomials in R_n . A monomial $u \in S_n$ is a *Gotzmann monomial* if the Borel-stable monomial ideal $\langle u \rangle$ it generates in R_n is a Gotzmann ideal. A longstanding open problem is to determine all Gotzmann monomials in R_n . Given $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$, its *Gotzmann threshold* is the unique nonnegative integer $t_0 = \tau_n(u_0)$ such that $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if $t \geq t_0$. Currently, the function τ_n is exactly known for $n \leq 4$ only. We present here an efficient procedure to determine $\tau_n(u_0)$ for all n and all $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. As an application, in the critical case $u_0 = x_2^d$, we determine $\tau_5(x_2^d)$ for all d and we conjecture that for $n \geq 6$, $\tau_n(x_2^d)$ is a polynomial in d of degree 2^{n-2} and dominant term equal to that of the (n-2)-iterated binomial coefficient



2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13F20; 13D40; 05E40. Key words and phrases. Monomial ideal; Borel-stable ideal; Lexsegment; Gotzmann ideal; Gotzmann persistence theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field. Let $R_n = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the *n*-variable polynomial algebra over K endowed with the standard grading deg $(x_i) = 1$ for all *i*. We denote by $S_n = \{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } i\}$ the set of monomials in R_n , and by $S_{n,d} \subset S_n$ the subset of monomials *u* of degree deg $(u) = \sum_i a_i = d$.

A monomial ideal $J \subseteq R_n$ is said to be *Borel-stable* or *strongly stable* if for any monomial $v \in J$ and any variable x_j dividing v, one has $x_i v / x_j \in J$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j$. Given a monomial $u \in S_n$, let $\langle u \rangle$ denote the smallest Borel-stable monomial ideal in R_n containing u, and let

Date: March 9, 2024.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from INdAM for visits at the University of Reggio Calabria in July 2019, July 2022 and February 2023, and from the Mathematics laboratory LMPA of Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale for a visit in February 2024.

B(u) denote the unique minimal system of monomial generators of $\langle u \rangle$. Then B(u) is the smallest set of monomials containing u and stable under the operations $v \mapsto vx_i/x_j$ whenever x_j divides v and $i \leq j$. See e.g. [6].

Recall that a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq R_n$ is a *Gotzmann ideal* if, from a certain degree on, its Hilbert function attains Macaulay's lower bound. See *e.g.* [4, 8] for more details. Determining which homogeneous ideals are Gotzmann ideals is notoriously difficult. See e.g. [2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] for more on Gotzmann ideals. In this paper, we focus on this question for principal-Borel monomial ideals $\langle u \rangle$. Whence the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let $u \in S_n$. We say that u is a Gotzmann monomial if its associated Borel-stable monomial ideal $\langle u \rangle$ is a Gotzmann ideal.

Even for this restricted family of ideals $\langle u \rangle$, determining those which are Gotzmann is very difficult. So far, it has only been solved for $n \leq 4$.

The following theorem of Gotzmann is classical [7].

Theorem 1.2. Let $u \in S_n$. Then there exists an integer $\tau_n(u) \ge 0$ such that ux_n^s is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if $s \ge \tau_n(u)$.

For instance, u is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if $\tau_n(u) = 0$.

Definition 1.3. We shall refer to the above integer $\tau_n(u)$ as the Gotzmann threshold of u in R_n .

Determining $\tau_n(u)$ for all $u \in S_n$ reduces to determine $\tau_n(u_0)$ for all $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. Indeed, decomposing $u = u_0 x_n^t$ with $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ and $t \ge 0$, Theorem 1.2 implies

$$\tau_n(u) = \max(\tau_n(u_0) - t, 0).$$

That is, if $t \ge \tau_n(u_0)$, then $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n whence $\tau_n(u) = 0$, whereas if $t < \tau_n(u_0)$, then $ux_n^s = u_0 x_n^{t+s}$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if $t+s \ge \tau_n(u_0)$ if and only if $s \ge \tau_n(u_0)-t$.

Currently, the function τ_n is only known for n = 2, 3, 4. Indeed, we have $\tau_2(u) = 0$ for all $u \in S_2$ since every monomial in S_2 is a Gotzmann monomial, as is easily seen. For n = 3, it follows from results in [15] that for $u_0 = x_1^a x_2^b \in S_2$, we have

$$\tau_3(x_1^a x_2^b) = \binom{b}{2}.$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Finally, the case n = 4 was settled in [5], where for $u_0 = x_1^a x_2^b x_3^c \in S_3$, the precise formula for $\tau_4(u_0)$ turned out to be rather intricate:

(1)
$$\tau_4(x_1^a x_2^b x_3^c) = {\binom{b}{2}}{2} + \frac{b+4}{3} {\binom{b}{2}} + (b+1) {\binom{c+1}{2}} + {\binom{c+1}{3}} - c.$$

It is a general fact that the exponent a of x_1 plays no role for the Gotzmann property [5]. The case where a = c = 0 is the most interesting, being responsible for the main terms of the above formula:

$$\tau_4(x_2^b) = \binom{\binom{b}{2}}{2} + \frac{b+4}{3}\binom{b}{2}.$$

It is an open problem to determine $\tau_n(u_0)$ for $n \ge 5$ and all $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. In this paper, we develop a general method to compute $\tau_n(u_0)$ for any given $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. Based on the above observations for n = 4, it makes sense to focus on the case $u_0 = x_2^d$ as a priority. (We switch to exponent d for the *degree* of the resulting polynomial formula.) As an application of our method, we obtain in Section 5 the formula

It remains an open problem to determine $\tau_n(x_2^d)$ for all $n \ge 6$. Based on the cases $3 \le n \le 5$, we conjecture that $\tau_n(x_2^d)$ is a polynomial in dof degree 2^{n-2} and dominant term equal to that of the (n-2)-iterated binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\binom{d}{2}}{\binom{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

1.1. Contents. In Section 2, we recall or introduce basic notions such as the maxgen monomial, gaps and cogaps, in terms of which Gotzmann monomials can be characterized. In Section 3, we develop tools to effectively exploit these characterizations. Our main result to compute the Gotzmann threshold $\tau_n(u)$ of any monomial $u \in S_n$ is proved in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we apply our method to compute the Gotzmann threshold in the significant case $u = x_2^d$ in S_n for n = 5. We conclude with a conjecture pointing to the expected intricacy of the formula for $\tau_n(x_2^d)$ for $n \ge 6$.

2. Background

In this section, we recall or introduce concepts with which Gotzmann monomials in R_n can be characterized.

2.1. The maxgen monomial.

Notation 2.1. Let $u \in S_n \setminus \{1\}$. Let $k \leq n$ be the largest index such that x_k divides u. We then set $\max(u) = k$ and $\lambda(u) = x_k$.

Definition 2.2. Let $B \subseteq S_{n,d}$ be a set of monomials of degree $d \ge 1$. The maxgen monomial of B is defined as

$$maxgen(B) = \prod_{u \in B} \lambda(u).$$

For the empty set, we define $maxgen(\emptyset) = 1$.

For instance, $S_{3,2} = \{x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2\}$, hence maxgen $(S_{3,2}) = x_1x_2^2x_3^3$. Note that deg(maxgen(B)) = |B| for all $B \subseteq S_{n,d}$.

2.2. Gaps and cogaps.

Notation 2.3. Let $u \in S_{n,d}$. We denote by $B(u) \subseteq S_{n,d}$ the smallest set of monomials containing u and stable under the operations $v \mapsto vx_i/x_j$ whenever x_j divides v and $i \leq j$.

Thus, B(u) is the minimal set of monomial generators of the principal-Borel ideal $\langle u \rangle$ spanned by u.

We order the set $S_{n,d}$ lexicographically, with $\max(S_{n,d}) = x_1^d$ and $\min(S_{n,d}) = x_n^d$. For $u, v \in S_n$, we shall write $u \leq v$ exclusively when $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$ and u is lexicographically smaller than or equal to v.

Notation 2.4. Let $u \in S_{n,d} \setminus \{x_1^d\}$. We denote by $\operatorname{pred}_n(u)$, or simply $\operatorname{pred}(u)$ when the context is clear, the predecessor of u in $S_{n,d}$, i.e. the smallest $v \in S_{n,d}$ such that u < v. For $\ell \ge 1$, we recursively denote by $\operatorname{pred}^{\ell}(u)$ the ℓ th predecessor of u in $S_{n,d}$, provided $\operatorname{pred}^{\ell-1}(u) \neq x_1^d$.

Given $u \in S_{n,d}$, we will consider upward paths from u to some larger $v \in S_{n,d}$. In that respect, an *elementary step* is the shortest possible upward path from u, namely from u to its predecessor $\operatorname{pred}_n(u)$ in $S_{n,d}$.

Recall [5] that if $m = \max(u) \ge 2$ and $u = u_0 x_m^a$ with $\max(u_0) \le m - 1$ and $a \ge 1$, then

(3)
$$\operatorname{pred}_n(u_0 x_m^a) = u_0 x_{m-1} x_n^{a-1}.$$

Notation 2.5. For $u \leq v \in S_{n,d}$, we denote

$$L_n(u) = \{ z \in S_{n,d} \mid z \ge u \}, L_n^*(v, u) = \{ z \in S_{n,d} \mid v > z \ge u \} = L_n(u) \setminus L_n(v).$$

The sets $L_n(u)$ and $L_n^*(v, u)$ are called *lexsegments* and *lexintervals*, respectively. By a slight abuse of notation, we shall drop the index n and write L(u) for $L_n(u)$ and $L^*(v, u)$ for $L_n^*(v, u)$.

Notation 2.6. For $u \leq v \in S_{n,d}$, we denote

$$\mu_n(u, v) = \maxgen(L^*(v, u))$$

and call $\mu_n(u, v)$ the cost of the upward path from u to v in $S_{n,d}$.

Note that $\mu_n(u, u) = 1$. We shall use the arrow notation

$$u \xrightarrow{\mu_n(u,v)} v$$

to indicate the upward path from u to v in $S_{n,d}$ together with its cost $\mu_n(u, v) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (L^*(v, u))$. The most basic step is from u to $\operatorname{pred}(u)$. Thus $\mu_n(u, \operatorname{pred}(u)) = \lambda(u)$, the last variable dividing u. In arrow notation, this is summarized as

$$u \xrightarrow{\lambda(u)} \operatorname{pred}(u).$$

Example 2.7. Let $u = x_2^2 x_4 x_5$, $v = x_2^2 x_3 x_4 \in S_5$. Then v > u and the elementary steps of the upward path from u to v in S_5 are

$$u = x_2^2 x_4 x_5 \xrightarrow{x_5} x_2^2 x_4^2 \xrightarrow{x_4} x_2^2 x_3 x_5 \xrightarrow{x_5} x_2^2 x_3 x_4 = v.$$

Thus $\mu_5(u,v) = x_4 x_5^2$, i.e. $u \xrightarrow{x_4 x_5^2} v$.

Notation 2.8. Let $u \in S_{n,d}$. Noting that $B(u) \subseteq L(u)$, we define

$$gaps_n(u) = L(u) \setminus B(u),$$

$$cogaps_n(u) = L^*(pred^g(u), u), where \ g = |gaps_n(u)|$$

$$= L^*(\tilde{u}, u), where \ \tilde{u} = pred^g(u).$$

Notation 2.9. Let $n \ge 3$. We denote by mg_n and mc_n the maps from S_n to S_n defined by

$$mg_n(u) = maxgen(gaps_n(u))$$
$$mc_n(u) = maxgen(cogaps_n(u))$$
$$= \mu_n(u, \tilde{u})$$

for all $u \in S_n$.

2.3. Characterizations of Gotzmann monomials. Here is a first characterization of Gotzmann monomials in R_n in terms of $mg_n(u)$ and $mc_n(u)$.

Theorem 2.10 ([4, 5]). Let $u \in S_n$. Then u is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if

$$\operatorname{mg}_n(u) = \operatorname{mc}_n(u).$$

In this paper, we shall mostly use the following more flexible characterization, which does not require one to first determine \tilde{u} and $mc_n(u)$ in order to apply Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2.11. Let $u \in S_n$. Then u is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if there exists $v \ge u$ such that $mg_n(u) = \mu_n(u, v)$.

Proof. Assume first that $u \in S_{n,d}$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n . Then $\operatorname{mg}_n(u) = \operatorname{mc}_n(u) = \mu_n(u, \tilde{u})$, where \tilde{u} is introduced in Notation 2.8. Thus $\operatorname{mg}_n(u) = \mu_n(u, v)$ with $v = \tilde{u}$.

Conversely, assume $\operatorname{mg}_n(u) = \mu_n(u, v)$ for some $v \ge u$ in S_n . Let $g = \operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{mg}_n(u)) = \operatorname{deg}(\mu_n(u, v))$. Then u has g gaps and the upward path from u to v has length g. That is, v is the gth predecessor of u in S_n , i.e. $v = \tilde{u}$ by definition of \tilde{u} . Thus $\operatorname{mg}_n(u) = \mu_n(u, \tilde{u}) = \operatorname{mc}_n(u)$, whence u is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n by Theorem 2.10. \Box

2.4. The method. Corollary 2.11 yields the following method to determine $\tau_n(u_0)$ for $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. Using the tools developed in Section 3, one first computes $\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)$. One then computes costs $\mu_n(u_0x_n^t, v)$ of upward paths in S_n starting from $u_0x_n^t$, and stop if and when such a cost is found to coincide with $\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)$. This justifies the following definition.

Definition 2.12. Given $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ and $t \ge 0$, we will refer to the monomial $mg_n(u_0x_n^t) \in S_n$ as the target.

Now, while looking to realize the target $mg_n(u_0x_n^t)$ as the cost of upward paths in S_n starting from $u_0x_n^t$, we will look to first realize truncated versions of it by ignoring the last variables.

Notation 2.13. Let $n \ge i \ge 1$ be positive integers. We denote by

 $\pi_i \colon S_n \to S_i$

the truncation morphism defined by $\pi_i(x_1^{a_1}\cdots x_n^{a_n}) = x_1^{a_1}\cdots x_i^{a_i}$.

By a slight abuse of notation, we may and will safely ignore the index n in the notation π_i .

Definition 2.14. Given $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$, $t \ge 0$ and $i \le n$, we will refer to the monomial $\pi_i(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)) \in S_i$ as the *i*-truncated target, or more shortly as the *i*-target.

The effectiveness of the method is illustrated in Section 5 with the determination of formula (2) for the Gotzmann threshold $\tau_5(x_2^d)$.

3. Computing $mg_n(u)$ and $\mu_n(u, v)$

In this Section, we develop tools enabling us to compute $mg_n(u)$ for $u \in S_n$ and costs $\mu_n(u, v)$ of upward paths in S_n starting from u.

 $\mathbf{6}$

3.1. The map σ_n . The following map is used everywhere in the sequel. Notation 3.1. We denote by $\sigma_n \colon S_n \to S_n$ the map defined, for all $u = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} \in S_n$, by $\sigma_n(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_1+\dots+a_i} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_1+a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_n}.$

For instance, $\sigma_2(x_2) = x_2$, $\sigma_5(x_2) = x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5$ and $\sigma_4(x_2^2 x_3^5) = x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7$. A useful property of σ_n is its compatibility with the product.

Lemma 3.2. Let $u, v \in S_n$. Then $\sigma_n(uv) = \sigma_n(u)\sigma_n(v)$.

Proof. Set $u = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{a_i}$, $v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{b_i}$. Then

$$\sigma_n(uv) = \sigma_n(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i+b_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{(a_1+b_1)+\dots+(a_i+b_i)}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{(a_1+\dots+a_i)+(b_1+\dots+b_i)} = \sigma_n(u)\sigma_n(v). \quad \Box$$

Here is a simple recursive formula.

Lemma 3.3. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. For all $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\sigma_n(u_0 x_n^t) = \sigma_{n-1}(u_0) \cdot x_n^{t+\deg(u_0)}.$$

Proof. Follows from the definition of σ_n , noting that if $u_0 = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}$, then

$$\deg(u_0) + t = a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} + t.$$

We will also need to apply σ_n iteratively. Here is the result.

Proposition 3.4. Let $u = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{a_i}$. Then for all $t \ge 1$, one has

(4)
$$\sigma_n^t(u) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\sum_{j=1}^i a_j \binom{t-1+i-j}{t-1}}$$

Proof. By induction on t, using the recurrence relations of the binomial coefficients. For t = 1 we have $\sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j {\binom{i-j}{0}} = a_1 + \dots + a_i$, as desired. Assume now the formula true for some $t \ge 1$. Let us prove it for t+1. Set $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j {\binom{t-1+i-j}{t-1}}$, so that $\sigma_n^t(u) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{b_i}$ by assumption. Now $\sigma_n^{t+1}(u) = \sigma_n(\sigma_n^t(u)) = \sigma_n(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{b_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\sum_{j=1}^i b_j}$. For all i,

set $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} b_j$. We will show that $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j \binom{t+i-j}{t}$, thereby concluding the proof. We have

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{j} a_r \binom{t-1+j-r}{t-1} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{i} a_r \sum_{j=r}^{i} \binom{t-1+j-r}{t-1}$$

Setting k = j - r, we obtain

$$c_i = \sum_{r=1}^{i} a_r \sum_{k=0}^{i-r} {t-1+k \choose t-1}.$$

Using the well-known binomial formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} \binom{d+k}{d} = \binom{d+1+N}{d+1},$$

and setting r = j, we obtain

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \binom{t+i-j}{t},$$

as desired.

For convenience, here is formula (4) in expanded form:

(5)
$$\sigma_n^t(x_1^{a_1}\cdots x_n^{a_n}) = x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_1t+a_2}x_3^{a_1\binom{t+1}{2}+a_2t+a_3}x_4^{a_1\binom{t+2}{3}+a_2\binom{t+1}{2}+a_3t+a_4}\cdots$$

The following result was first stated as Corollary 6.9 in [5].

Proposition 3.5. Let $u \in S_n$. Then

$$\mathrm{mg}_n(ux_n) = \sigma_n(\mathrm{mg}_n(u)).$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $u \in S_n$. For all $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{mg}_n(ux_n^t) = \sigma_n^t(\operatorname{mg}_n(u)).$$

3.2. Comparing maxgen monomials in S_n and S_{n-1} . The following result is Theorem 5.19 in [5]. See Notation 2.3 for the set B(u).

Theorem 3.7. Let $u = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d}$ with $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_d \leq n$. Then

$$mg_n(u) = \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} \left(\prod_{j=i_{k+1}+1}^n x_j^{\binom{d-k-2+j-i_{k+1}}{d-k-1}} \right)^{|B(x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k})|-1}$$

where the internal product is set to 1 if $i_{k+1} = n$.

,

Proposition 3.8. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. Then

$$\pi_{n-1}(\mathrm{mg}_n(u_0)) = \mathrm{mg}_{n-1}(u_0).$$

Proof. Write $u = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d}$ with $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_d \leq n-1$. By Theorem 3.7 above, we have

$$\mathrm{mg}_{n}(u) = \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} \left(\prod_{j=i_{k+1}+1}^{n} x_{j}^{\binom{d-k-2+j-i_{k+1}}{d-k-1}} \right)^{|B(x_{i_{1}}\cdots x_{i_{k}})|-1}$$

Let us now isolate the variable x_n . This yields

$$\operatorname{mg}_{n}(u) = \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} \left(\prod_{j=i_{k+1}+1}^{n-1} x_{j}^{\binom{d-k-2+j-i_{k+1}}{d-k-1}} \cdot x_{n}^{\binom{d-k-2+n-i_{k+1}}{d-k-1}} \right)^{|B(x_{i_{1}}\cdots x_{i_{k}})|-1}$$

Again by Theorem 3.7, the left part computes $mg_{n-1}(u_0)$, i.e.

$$\operatorname{mg}_{n-1}(u_0) = \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} \left(\prod_{j=i_{k+1}+1}^{n-1} x_j^{\binom{d-k-2+j-i_{k+1}}{d-k-1}} \right)^{|B(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})| - 1}$$

Summarizing, we have

(6)
$$\operatorname{mg}_{n}(u) = \operatorname{mg}_{n-1}(u_{0}) \cdot x_{n}^{\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-k-2+n-i_{k+1}}{d-k-1} \cdot (|B(x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}})|-1)}$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition.

Corollary 3.9. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. For all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\pi_{n-1}(\mathrm{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)) = \sigma_{n-1}^t(\mathrm{mg}_{n-1}(u_0)) = \mathrm{mg}_{n-1}(u_0x_{n-1}^t).$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.8 and induction on t using the formula $mg_n(ux_n) = \sigma_n(mg_n(u))$ for any $u \in S_n$.

3.3. The polynomial f(t). In this section, to a given monomial $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 3$, we attach a polynomial f(t) which will be involved in our main result towards determining $\tau_n(u_0)$.

Notation 3.10. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. For $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $f(t) \in \mathbb{N}$ the degree in x_n of the monomial $\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t) \in S_n$, i.e.

$$f(t) = \deg_{x_n}(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)).$$

Let us denote

(7)
$$w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\mathrm{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)) \in S_{n-1}$$

Recall from Definitions 2.12 and 2.14 that $mg_n(u_0x_n^t)$ and its truncation $w(t) \in S_{n-1}$ are called the *target* and the (n-1)-*target*, respectively.

It follows from the above corollary that

$$w(t) = mg_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t),$$

whence the decomposition

(8)
$$\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t) = \operatorname{mg}_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t) x_n^{f(t)} = w(t) x_n^{f(t)}.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.8 yields an explicit formula for f(t).

Theorem 3.11. Let $u_0 = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} \in S_{n-1}$ with $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq n-1$ and $n \geq 3$. For $t \geq 0$, set as above $\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t) = w(t) x_n^{f(t)}$. Then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} {\binom{t+r-k-2+n-i_{k+1}}{n-1-i_{k+1}}} \cdot \left(|B(x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k})|-1\right)$$

Proof. We have $\deg(u_0) = r$. Fixing t, let $u = u_0 x_n^t$ and $d = \deg(u) = r + t$. Formula (6) and the binomial formula $\binom{a}{b} = \binom{a}{a-b}$ directly yield the stated result.

Corollary 3.12. Fixing $n \ge 3$ and $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ not divisible by x_1 , the above corresponding function f(t) is a polynomial in t of degree at most n-3.

Proof. Writing $u_0 = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$ with $2 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r \leq n-1$, the above formula for f(t) is clearly a polynomial in t of degree at most $n-1-i_{k+1}$ for any $1 \leq k \leq r-1$, and hence of degree at most n-3 since $i_{k+1} \geq 2$ for all k by hypothesis. \Box

Example 3.13. The following formulas were shown in [5]. For n = 3, we have $mg_3(x_2^b x_3^t) = x_3^{\binom{b}{2}}$ independently of t, so that $f(t) = \binom{b}{2}$ for all t here. For n = 4, we have $mg_4(x_2^b x_3^c x_4^t) = x_3^{\binom{b}{2}} x_4^{f(t)}$ where

$$f(t) = {\binom{b}{2}}t + (\frac{b+1}{3}+c){\binom{b}{2}} + (b+1){\binom{c+1}{2}} + {\binom{c+1}{3}} - c,$$

a polynomial of degree 1. Incidently, note that

$$\operatorname{mg}_4(x_2^b x_3^c x_4^t) = \operatorname{mg}_3(x_2^b x_3^c) x_4^{f(t)}.$$

3.4. Multiplying by x_n . Given $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$, one needs to repeatedly multiply u_0 by x_n until obtaining an equality $\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t) = \mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, v)$ for some $v \geq u_0 x_n^t$ characterizing the Gotzmann property by Corollary 2.11. In that respect, one needs to compare the costs $\mu_n(u, v)$ and $\mu_n(ux_n, vx_n)$.

Proposition 3.14. Let $u < v \in S_{n,d}$. Then $\mu_n(ux_n, vx_n) = \sigma_n(\mu_n(u, v))$.

Proof. Let ℓ be the length of the path from u to v, that is,

$$\ell = |L^*(v, u)| = \deg(\mu_n(u, v)).$$

Then $v = \operatorname{pred}^{\ell}(u)$. We proceed by induction on ℓ .

Case $\ell = 1$. Then $\mu_n(u, v) = x_i$ for some $2 \le i \le n$, i.e.

$$u \xrightarrow{x_i} v$$

in arrow notation. Hence $\max(u) = x_i$, and so $u = u'x_i^a$ with $a \ge 1$ and $\max(u') < \max(u)$. Recall that

$$\sigma_n(x_i) = x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_n$$

by definition of σ_n . As v = pred(u), it follows from (3) that

$$v = u' x_{i-1} x_n^{a-1}$$

In arrow notation:

$$u'x_i^a \xrightarrow{x_i} u'x_{i-1}x_n^{a-1}.$$

We now compute $\mu_n(ux_n, vx_n)$, separately for i = n and i < n.

If i = n, then $ux_n = u'x_n^{a+1}$ and $vx_n = u'x_{n-1}x_n^a$. Therefore $vx_n = \operatorname{pred}(ux_n)$. In arrow notation, we have

$$ux_n = u'x_n^{a+1} \xrightarrow{x_n} u'x_{n-1}x_n^a = vx_n$$

Thus $\mu_n(ux_n, vx_n) = \mu_n(u, v) = x_n = \sigma_n(x_n)$, as desired.

If i < n, then $ux_n = u'x_i^a x_n$ and $vx_n = u'x_{i-1}x_n^a$. The path from the former to the latter is as follows:

$$ux_n = u'x_i^a x_n \xrightarrow{x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_{i+1}} u'x_i^a x_i \xrightarrow{x_i} u'x_{i-1} x_n^a = vx_n$$

Thus, by arrow composition, we have $\mu_n(ux_n, vx_n) = x_i \cdots x_n = \sigma_n(x_i)$, as desired. This concludes the case $\ell = 1$.

Case $\ell \geq 2$. We assume by induction hypothesis that the statement is true for $\ell - 1$. Let $u' = \operatorname{pred}(u)$. Then v > u' > u and $\mu_n(u, v) = \mu_n(u, u')\mu_n(u', v)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(\mu_n(u, u')) = 1$, then $\operatorname{deg}(\mu_n(u', v)) = \ell - 1$. It follows from the case $\ell = 1$ and the induction hypothesis that

$$\mu_n(ux_n, u'x_n) = \sigma_n(\mu_n(u, u')), \mu_n(u'x_n, vx_n) = \sigma_n(\mu_n(u', v)).$$

By arrow composition and the compatibility of σ_n with the product, we have

$$\mu_n(ux_n, vx_n) = \mu_n(ux_n, u'x_n)\mu_n(u'x_n, vx_n) = \sigma_n(\mu_n(u, u'))\sigma_n(\mu_n(u', v)) = \sigma_n(\mu_n(u, u')\mu_n(u', v)) = \sigma_n(\mu_n(u, v)),$$

as desired. This completes the proof of the proposition.

4. Main result

Given $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 3$, we attached to it a polynomial f(t) in Section 3.3. In this section, we further attach to u_0 two more polynomials h(t), k(t). With them, our main result is the following expression in Theorem 4.15 for the Gotzmann threshold of u_0 in R_n :

$$\tau_n(u_0) = f(0) - h(0) - k(0).$$

Several preliminaries are needed for the construction of h(t), k(t) in Section 4.4. Indeed, in view of reaching the equality $mg_n(u_0x_n^t) = \mu_n(u_0x_n^t, v)$ for some $v \ge u_0x_n^t$, characterizing the Gotzmann property, we need tools allowing to first reach its truncation in S_{n-1} using π_{n-1} .

4.1. Truncating the cost μ_n .

Proposition 4.1. Let $u_0 < v_0$ in $S_{n-1,d}$. Then

$$\pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0, v_0)) = \mu_{n-1}(u_0, v_0).$$

That is, $\mu_n(u_0, v_0) = \mu_{n-1}(u_0, v_0) x_n^s$ for some $s \ge 0$.

Proof. In $S_{n-1,d}$, consider the full upward path from u_0 to v_0 :

 $u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \cdots < u_k = v_0,$

where $u_{i+1} = \operatorname{pred}_{n-1}(u_i)$ for all *i*. Given $i \leq k-1$, we have $u_i = w_i x_r^a$ where $w_i \in S_{r-1}$ and $a \geq 1$. So the upward step from u_i to u_{i+1} in S_{n-1} is given by

$$u_i = w_i x_r^a \xrightarrow{x_r} u_{i+1} = w_i x_{r-1} x_{n-1}^{a-1}.$$

That is, we have $\mu_{n-1}(u_i, u_{i+1}) = x_r$. Now the predecessor of u_i in S_n is given by $\operatorname{pred}_n(w_i x_r^a) = w_i x_{r-1} x_n^{a-1}$. Thus, the upward path from u_i to u_{i+1} in S_n is given by

$$u_{i} = w_{i}x_{r}^{a} \xrightarrow{x_{r}} w_{i}x_{r-1}x_{n}^{a-1} \xrightarrow{x_{n}^{a-1}} u_{i+1} = w_{i}x_{r-1}x_{n-1}^{a-1}.$$

That is, we have $\mu_n(u_i, u_{i+1}) = x_r x_n^{a-1} = \mu_{n-1}(u_i, u_{i+1}) x_n^{a-1}$. Since $\mu_n(u_0, v_0) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mu_n(u_i, u_{i+1})$, the proof is complete. \Box

12

4.2. The monomial $z_n(t)$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. Assume $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$. Let $w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t))$. Then there exists $v(t) \in S_{n-1}$ such that

$$w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, v(t))).$$

Proof. By hypothesis on t, we have that $u_0 x_{n-1}^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_{n-1} . Hence by Corollary 2.11, there exists $v(t) \in S_{n-1}$ such that

(9)
$$\operatorname{mg}_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t) = \mu_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t, v(t)).$$

Now

$$w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\text{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t))$$

= mg_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t) by Corollary 3.9
= $\mu_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t, v(t))$ by (9)
= $\pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0 x_{n-1}^t, v(t)))$ by Proposition 4.1
= $\pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, v(t))).$

The last line follows from $u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{x_n^t} u_0 x_{n-1}^t \xrightarrow{\mu_n(u_0 x_{n-1}^t, v(t))} v(t).$

Definition 4.3. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \ge 3$. For $t \ge 0$, we denote by $z_n(t)$, if it exists, the smallest monomial in S_n such that $u_0 x_n^t \le z_n(t)$ and

$$\pi_{n-1}(\mathrm{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)) = \pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0x_n^t, z_n(t))).$$

With the above notation, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that $z_n(t)$ exists whenever $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$.

Example 4.4. Let $u_0 = x_2^2 \in S_{n-1}$ with n = 4. On the one hand, for $t \ge 0$, we have $\operatorname{mg}_4(x_2^2 x_4^t) = x_3 x_4^{t+1}$ as follows from Theorem 3.7. Hence $\pi_3(\operatorname{mg}_4(x_2^2 x_4^t)) = x_3$. For $t \ge 1$, we claim that $z_4(t) = x_2^3 x_4^{t-1}$. Indeed, the upward path in S_4 from $x_2^2 x_4^t$ starts like this:

$$x_2^2 x_4^t \xrightarrow{x_4^t} x_2^2 x_3^t \xrightarrow{x_3} x_2^3 x_4^{t-1}.$$

Thus $\mu_4(x_2^2 x_4^t, x_2^3 x_4^{t-1}) = x_3 x_4^t$, so $\pi_3(\mu_4(x_2^2 x_4^t, x_2^3 x_4^{t-1})) = x_3 = \pi_3(\operatorname{mg}_4(x_2^2 x_4^t))$

as desired. However, for t = 0, we claim that $z_4(0)$ does not exist. Indeed, $\pi_3(\operatorname{mg}_4(x_2^2)) = x_3x_4$, whereas the first step of the upward path in S_4 from x_2^2 is $x_2^2 \xrightarrow{x_2} x_1x_4$, whence x_2 divides $\pi_3(\mu_4(x_2^2, v))$ for all $v > x_2^2$ in S_4 , implying

$$x_3 = \pi_3(\mathrm{mg}_4(x_2^2)) \neq \pi_3(\mu_4(x_2^2, v)).$$

Lemma 4.5. Let $u \in S_{n,d}$ such that x_n divides u. For all $v \in S_{n,d}$ such that v > u, we have that $\mu_n(u, v)$ is the product of monomials of the form

$$x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_n$$

for various indices $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Each elementary step in the upward path from u to v is of the form

$$v_0 x_j^a \xrightarrow{x_j} v_1 = v_0 x_{j-1} x_n^{a-1}$$

where $2 \leq j \leq n$, $v_0 \in S_{j-1}$ and $a \geq 1$. Therefore, for the next elementary step starting from $v_1 = v_0 x_{j-1} x_n^{a-1}$, there are only two possibilities, namely

$$\begin{cases} v_0 x_{j-1} x_n^{a-1} \xrightarrow{x_{j-1}} v_2 = v_0 x_{j-2} & \text{if } a = 1, \\ v_0 x_{j-1} x_n^{a-1} \xrightarrow{x_n} v_2 = v_0 x_{j-1} x_{n-1} x_n^{a-2} & \text{if } a \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

This shows that if the cost of the current elementary step is x_j , then the cost of the next elementary step is either x_{j-1} or x_n .

Proposition 4.6. Let $u, v \in S_n$ such that x_n divides u and v > u. Assume that x_k divides $\mu_n(u, v)$ for some $k \le n - 1$. Then there exists $v' \in S_n$ such that $u \le v' < v$ and $\pi_k(\mu_n(u, v)/x_k) = \pi_k(\mu_n(u, v'))$.

Proof. By hypothesis, there is an upward path of the form

$$u \longrightarrow u_1 \xrightarrow{x_k} u_2 \longrightarrow v.$$

Then $\mu_n(u, v) = \mu_n(u, u_1)x_k\mu_n(u_2, v)$. We may assume that u_2 is maximal with the property that x_k divides $\mu_n(u, u_2)$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\mu_n(u_2, v)$ is only divisible by variables x_j with j > k. Hence $\pi_k(\mu_n(u, v)/x_k) = \pi_k(\mu_n(u, u_1))$. So $v' = u_1$ has the required property. \Box

Theorem 4.7. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 3$. Let $t \geq \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$. As in Definition 4.3, let $z_n(t) \geq u_0 x_n^t$ be the smallest monomial such that

(10)
$$\pi_{n-1}(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)) = \pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, z_n(t))).$$

Then
$$z_n(t+1) = z_n(t)x_n$$

Proof. Denote $w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t))$, the current (n-1)-target. **Case 1:** w(t) = 1. That is, $\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)$ is a power of x_n . Then the monomial $z_n(t) = u_0x_n^t$ satisfies the required properties, since

$$w(t) = 1 = \mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, u_0 x_n^t) = \pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, u_0 x_n^t)).$$

In this case, we clearly have $z_n(t+1) = z_n(t)x_n$.

Case 2: $deg(w(t)) \ge 1$. By Corollary 3.9, we have

$$w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\mathrm{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)) = \mathrm{mg}_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t),$$

whence x_{n-1} divides w(t). Since $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$, there exists $v'(t) \in S_{n-1}$ such that

$$w(t) = \mu_{n-1}(u_0 x_{n-1}^t, v'(t)).$$

That is, we have the following upward path in S_{n-1} :

$$u_0 x_{n-1}^t \xrightarrow{w(t)} v'(t).$$

For the upward path from $u_0 x_{n-1}^t$ to v'(t) in S_n , Proposition 4.1 implies

$$\mu_n(u_0 x_{n-1}^t, v'(t)) = w(t) x_n^s$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Starting from $u_0 x_n^t$, we get

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{x_n^t} u_0 x_{n-1}^t \xrightarrow{w(t)x_n^s} v'(t)$$

with cumulated cost $\mu_n(u_0x_n^t, v'(t)) = w(t)x_n^{g(t)}$ where g(t) = t + s. By Proposition 4.6 and its proof, since x_{n-1} divides w(t), the path

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{w(t) x_n^{t+s}} v'(t)$$

decomposes as

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{g''(t)}} u''(t) \xrightarrow{x_{n-1}} u'(t) \xrightarrow{x_n^{g'(t)}} v'(t)$$

where g'(t) + g''(t) = g(t). It follows that $\max(u'') = x_{n-1}$ and that, in this path, the elementary step leading to u'' is of the form

$$u'''(t) \xrightarrow{x_n} u''(t),$$

i.e. with $\operatorname{pred}_n(u'''(t)) = u''(t)$. In particular, u'''(t) is divisible by x_n . Let us denote

$$u'''(t) = v(t)x_n^{a_n}$$

with $v(t) \in S_{n-1}$ and $a_n \ge 1$. Stopping at $v(t)x_n^{a_n}$, we obtain the path

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{l(t)}} v(t) x_n^{a_n}$$

with l(t) = g''(t) - 1. Continuing from here, we have

$$v(t)x_n^{a_n} \xrightarrow{x_n^{a_n}} v(t)x_{n-1}^{a_n}$$

The cumulated cost so far is the product $\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}}x_n^{l(t)+a_n}$ which is not yet on target. But with just one more step, namely

$$v(t)x_{n-1}^{a_n} \xrightarrow{x_{n-1}} v(t)x_{n-2}x_n^{a_n-1},$$

the cumulated cost reaches $w(t)x_n^{l(t)+a_n}$, on target for first time relatively to π_{n-1} . Hence

$$z_n(t) = v(t)x_{n-2}x_n^{a_n-1}$$

We now multiply the extremities of the initial path by x_n . By Proposition 3.14, the cost of the new path is given as follows:

$$u_0 x_n^{t+1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{l(t)})} v(t) x_n^{a_n+1}.$$

Continuing from here, we have

(11)
$$v(t)x_n^{a_n+1} \xrightarrow{x_n^{a_n+1}} v(t)x_{n-1}^{a_n+1}$$

with cumulated cost so far given by

(12)
$$\sigma_n \left(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{l(t)}\right) x_n^{a_n+1}.$$

We will now simplify (12) as

(13)
$$\sigma_n \left(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{l(t)}\right) x_n^{a_n+1} = \frac{w(t+1)}{x_{n-1}} \cdot x_n^*$$

using the next two claims.

Claim 1.
$$w(t+1) = \sigma_{n-1}(w(t))$$
.
Indeed, we have

$$w(t+1) = \pi_{n-1}(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^{t+1}))$$

= $\pi_{n-1}(\sigma_n(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^{t})))$ by Prop. 3.5
= $\pi_{n-1}(\sigma_n(w(t) x_n^{f(t)}))$ by (8)
= $\pi_{n-1}(\sigma_{n-1}(w(t)) x_n^{f(t)+\deg w(t)})$ by Lemma. 3.3
= $\sigma_{n-1}(w(t))$.

Claim 2. $\sigma_{n-1}(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}}) = \frac{w(t+1)}{x_{n-1}}$. Indeed, $w(t) = \frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} \cdot x_{n-1}$. Applying σ_{n-1} to both sides, using Lemma 3.2, and the formula $\sigma_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = x_{n-1}$, we get

$$\sigma_{n-1}(w(t)) = \sigma_{n-1}(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}}) \cdot \sigma_{n-1}(x_{n-1})$$
$$= \sigma_{n-1}(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}}) \cdot x_{n-1}.$$

Hence

(14)
$$\sigma_{n-1}\left(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}}\right) = \frac{\sigma_{n-1}(w(t))}{x_{n-1}}$$
$$= \frac{w(t+1)}{x_{n-1}} \text{ by Claim 1.}$$

This settles Claim 2.

We are now in a position to prove (13):

$$\sigma_n \left(\frac{w(t)}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{l(t)}\right) x_n^{a_n+1} = \frac{\sigma_{n-1}(w(t))}{x_{n-1}} x_n^{\deg(w(t))+l(t)+a_n}$$
 by (14)
= $\frac{w(t+1)}{x_{n-1}} \cdot x_n^*$ by Claim 2.

Note that the cost given by (13) is not yet on target relative to π_{n-1} . Going back to the path with that cost, we had reached $v(t)x_{n-1}^{a_n+1}$ in (11). Starting from there, the next step upwards is

$$v(t)x_{n-1}^{a_n+1} \xrightarrow{x_{n-1}} v(t)x_{n-2}x_n^{a_n}$$

Now, the cumulated cost of the extended path is given by

$$\frac{w(t+1)}{x_{n-1}} \cdot x_n^* \cdot x_{n-1} = w(t+1) \cdot x_n^*.$$

This is the first time we reach the desired (n-1)-target w(t+1). Hence $z_n(t+1)$ is given by the extremity of that extended path, namely $v(t)x_{n-2}x_n^{a_n+1}$. Hence

$$z_n(t+1) = v(t)x_{n-2}x_n^{a_n}$$
$$= v(t)x_{n-2}x_n^{a_n-1} \cdot x_n$$
$$= z_n(t) \cdot x_n,$$

as desired.

4.3. **Partial conversions.** Given $v \in S_{m-1}$, we need to know the cost of the path from vx_m^k to $vx_{m-1}^{\ell}x_m^{k-\ell}$ for $\ell \leq k$, where we only partially convert some of the x_m into x_{m-1} . Here is the required formula.

Proposition 4.8. Let $v \in S_{m-1}$ with $2 \le m \le n$. For any $1 \le \ell \le k$, we have

$$\mu_n(vx_m^k, vx_{m-1}^\ell x_m^{k-\ell}) = x_m^\ell x_{m+1}^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{k-\ell}{2}} x_{m+2}^{\binom{k+1}{3} - \binom{k+1-\ell}{3}} \cdots x_n^{\binom{k+n-m-1}{n-m+1} - \binom{k+n-m-1-\ell}{n-m+1}}.$$

Proof. By arrow composition, we have

$$vx_m^k \xrightarrow{} vx_{m-1}^\ell x_m^{k-\ell} \xrightarrow{} vx_{m-1}^k.$$

The respective costs of the long path and of the rightmost one are known. The cost of the leftmost one is given by their quotient, namely

$$\mu_n(vx_m^k, vx_{m-1}x_m^{k-\ell}) = \mu_n(vx_m^k, vx_{m-1}^k) / \mu_n(vx_{m-1}x_m^{k-\ell}, vx_{m-1}^k).$$

Applying the relevant formula for the numerator and the denominator yields the stated result. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 4.9. Let $v_2 < v_1 \in S_{n,d}$. Then $\mu_n(v_2, v_1) = x_n^b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $\deg_{x_n}(v_2) \geq b$.

Proof. Let $a = \deg_{x_n}(v_2)$, so that $v_2 = v'_2 x_n^a$ with $v'_2 \in S_{n-1}$. Then pred^{*i*} $(v_2) = v'_2 x_{n-1}^i x_n^{a-i}$ for all $0 \le i \le a$. In particular, for i = a, the monomial pred^{*a*} (v_2) has max index equal to n-1. Hence $\mu_n(v_2, \operatorname{pred}^{a+1}(v_2)) = x_{n-1} x_n^a$. Therefore $v_1 < \operatorname{pred}^{a+1}(v_2)$, i.e. $v_1 = \operatorname{pred}^j(v_2)$ for some $j \le a$.

4.4. The polynomials f(t), h(t), k(t). Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 3$. Having already attached to u_0 the polynomial f(t) in Section 3.3, we will now attach to it two more polynomials h(t), k(t) involved in our formula for $\tau_n(u_0)$ in Theorem 4.15.

Notation 4.10. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. For $t \ge 0$, let us denote

$$w(t) = \pi_{n-1}(\mathrm{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)).$$

Recall that we refer to $w(t) \in S_{n-1}$ as the (n-1)-target.

Hence

(15)
$$\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)) = w(t) x_n^{f(t)}$$

where $f(t) = \deg_{x_n} \operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)$ was shown to be a polynomial in t in Section 3.3.

We have seen in Section 4.2 that for $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$, there exists a smallest monomial $z_n(t) \ge u_0 x_n^t$ such that

(16)
$$\pi_{n-1}(\mu_n(u_0x_n^t, z_n(t))) = w(t).$$

Definition 4.11. With $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ and the above notation, we define h(t), k(t) for $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$ as follows:

(17)
$$h(t) = \deg_{x_n} \mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, z_n(t)),$$
$$k(t) = \deg_{x_n} z_n(t).$$

We will show that h(t) and k(t) are polynomials in t. Let us first compare f(t) and h(t).

Proposition 4.12. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$. Then for all $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$, we have

$$f(t+1) - f(t) = \deg(w(t)) = h(t+1) - h(t).$$

Proof. First for f(t). On the one hand, we have

$$mg_n(u_0 x_n^{t+1}) = w(t+1)x_n^{f(t+1)}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^{t+1}) &= \sigma_n(\operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t)) \\ &= \sigma_n(w(t) x_n^{f(t)}) \\ &= \sigma_{n-1}(w(t)) x_n^{f(t) + \operatorname{deg}(w(t))} \end{split}$$

Since $\sigma_{n-1}(w(t)) \in S_{n-1}$, it follows by comparing the exponents of x_n in these expressions for $mg_n(u_0x_n^{t+1})$ that $f(t+1) = f(t) + \deg(w(t))$, as claimed.

Now for h(t). On the one hand, we have

$$\mu_n(u_0 x_n^{t+1}, z_n(t+1)) = w(t+1) x_n^{h(t+1)}.$$

On the other hand, we proved above that $z_n(t+1) = z_n(t)x_n$. Hence

$$\mu_n(u_0 x_n^{t+1}, z_n(t+1)) = \mu_n(u_0 x_n^t x_n, z_n(t) x_n)$$

= $\sigma_n(\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, z_n(t)))$
= $\sigma_n(w(t) x_n^{h(t)})$
= $\sigma_{n-1}(w(t)) x_n^{h(t)+\deg(w(t))}$

Again, since $\sigma_{n-1}(w(t)) \in S_{n-1}$, and by comparing the exponent of x_n on these expressions of $\mu_n(u_0 x_n^{t+1}, z_n(t+1))$, we find that $h(t+1) = h(t) + \deg(w(t))$, as claimed.

Corollary 4.13. The functions h(t) and k(t) associated to $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ are polynomials in t for $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$.

Proof. Since f(t) is a polynomial in t as shown in Section 3.3, the function $t \mapsto f(t+1) - f(t)$, i.e. the discrete derivative of f(t), is also a polynomial in t, of degree one less than the degree of f(t). Since the map $t \mapsto h(t+1) - h(t) = f(t+1) - f(t)$ is a polynomial in t, it follows that its discrete primitive h(t) is itself a polynomial in t, of degree one more, i.e. of the same degree as f(t). Finally, since $z_n(t+1) = z_n(t)x_n$ for t large enough, and since $k(t) = \deg_{x_n} z(t)$, we have k(t+1) - k(t) = 1 for t large enough. Hence k(t) is a polynomial in t of degree 1 with dominant coefficient 1.

4.5. Determining $\tau_n(u_0)$. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 3$. We now use the above polynomials f(t), h(t), k(t) attached to u_0 and (15), (16), (17) to determine when $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n .

Theorem 4.14. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \ge 3$. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if

$$k(t) \ge f(t) - h(t).$$

Proof. Given $t \ge 0$, let $W(t) = mg_n(u_0 x_n^t)$. Thus

$$W(t) = w(t)x_n^{f(t)}.$$

As in [5], denote $\tilde{u}(t) = \widetilde{u_0 x_n^t} \in S_n$ the unique monomial such that $|L(\tilde{u}(t))| = |B(u_0 x_n^t)|$, i.e.

(18)
$$\tilde{u}(t) = \operatorname{pred}^{|\operatorname{gaps}(u_0 x_n^t)|}(u_0 x_n^t).$$

Assume first that $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n . Then we have

$$W(t) = \operatorname{mg}_n(u_0 x_n^t) = \operatorname{mg}_n(L^*(\tilde{u}(t), u_0 x_n^t)).$$

By the minimality of $z_n(t)$, we have

$$z_n(t) \le \tilde{u}(t).$$

By arrow composition, the arrow

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, \tilde{u}(t))} \tilde{u}(t)$$

decomposes as

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, z_n(t))} z_n(t) \xrightarrow{\mu_n(z_n(t), \tilde{u}(t))} \tilde{u}(t).$$

Hence

(19)
$$\mu_n(z_n(t), \tilde{u}(t)) = \frac{\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, \tilde{u}(t))}{\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, z_n(t))}.$$

Now, we have

$$\mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, \tilde{u}(t)) = w_0(t) x_n^{f(t)}, \mu_n(u_0 x_n^t, z_n(t)) = w_0(t) x_n^{h(t)}.$$

Hence, by (19), we get

$$\mu_n(z_n(t), \tilde{u}(t)) = x_n^{f(t)-h(t)}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.9 that $\deg_{x_n} z_n(t) = k(t) \ge f(t) - h(t)$.

Conversely, assume $k(t) \ge f(t) - h(t)$. Hence $z_n(t)$ is divisible by $x_n^{f(t)-h(t)}$. By Lemma 4.9 again, taking as many predecessors, we have

$$z_n(t) \xrightarrow{x_n^{f(t)-h(t)}} v(t)$$

for some monomial $v(t) \in S_n$. Thus we have

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{w(t)x_n^{h(t)}} z_n(t) \xrightarrow{x_n^{f(t)-h(t)}} v(t)$$

which by arrow composition yields

$$u_0 x_n^t \xrightarrow{w(t)x_n^{f(t)}} v(t).$$

Let $g(t) = \deg(w(t)x_n^{f(t)})$. Hence $v(t) = \operatorname{pred}^{g(t)}(u_0x_n^t)$. Since $w(t)x_n^{f(t)} = W(t) = \operatorname{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)$, it follows that

$$g(t) = |\operatorname{gaps}(u_0 x_n^t)|$$

Hence $v(t) = \tilde{u}(t)$ by definition of $\tilde{u}(t)$. Recall that $L^*(\tilde{u}(t), u_0 x_n^t) = \operatorname{cogaps}(u_0 x_n^t)$ by definition. Therefore

$$\mathrm{mc}_n(u_0 x_n^t) = \mathrm{maxgen}(L^*(\tilde{u}(t), u_0 x_n^t)) = w(t) x_n^{f(t)}.$$

We conclude that

$$\mathrm{mc}_n(u_0x_n^t) = \mathrm{mg}_n(u_0x_n^t)$$

Hence $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n , as desired.

We are now in a position to determine the Gotzmann threshold of u_0 in R_n .

Theorem 4.15. Let $u_0 \in S_{n-1}$ with $n \ge 3$. Let f(t), h(t), k(t) be the polynomials attached to u_0 . Then $\tau_n(u_0) = f(0) - h(0) - k(0)$.

Proof. Assume $t \geq \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$.

Step 1. Then $z_n(t+1) = z_n(t)x_n$ by Proposition 4.2.

Step 2. We have k(t) = t + k(0) for some constant k(0). Indeed, $k(t) = \deg_{x_n} z_n(t)$ by Definition 4.11. It follows from Step 1 that k(t+1) = k(t)+1 for $t \ge \tau_{n-1}(u_0)$. Hence, viewing k(t) as a polynomial in t, it is of degree 1 with dominant coefficient 1. Thus k(t) = t + k(0)for some constant k(0).

Step 3. By Theorem 4.14, we have that $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if $k(t) \ge f(t) - h(t)$.

Step 4. By Proposition 4.12, we have $f(t+1) - f(t) = h(t+1) - h(t) = \deg(w(t))$.

Step 5. By Step 4, it follows that both f(t) and h(t) are polynomials in t, since deg(w(t)) is a polynomial in t by definition of w(t) and

Corollary 3.9. Hence the expressions f(0), h(0) are well defined, and f(t) - h(t) = f(0) - h(0) by Step 4 again.

Step 6. By Step 3, $u_0 x_n^t$ is a Gotzmann monomial in R_n if and only if $k(t) \ge f(t) - h(t)$. By Step 5, this holds if and only if $k(t) \ge f(0) - h(0)$, and by Step 2, this holds if and only if $t + k(0) \ge f(0) - h(0)$. Hence $\tau_n(u_0) = f(0) - h(0) - k(0)$ by Theorem 1.2. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

4.6. An example. Let n = 5 and $u_0 = x_2^2 x_4 \in S_4$. As a first illustration of the method, before its application to the more demanding computation of $\tau_5(x_2^d)$ in Section 5, let us show that $\tau_5(u_0) = 6$. We do so by determining the attached polynomials f(t), h(t), k(t) and then using the formula $\tau_5(u_0) = f(0) - h(0) - k(0)$.

• Applying Theorem 3.7 to $u_0 = x_2^2 x_4 = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d}$ with d = 3 and $i_1 = i_2 = 2, i_3 = 4$, we get

$$\mathrm{mg}_5(x_2^2x_4) = x_3x_4^2x_5^5.$$

• Corollary 3.6 then implies

$$\mathrm{mg}_5(x_2^2 x_4 x_5^t) = x_3 x_4^{t+2} x_5^{\binom{t+1}{2}+2t+5}$$

Hence $f(t) = {\binom{t+1}{2}} + 2t + 5.$

• We have $w(t) = \pi_4(\text{mg}_5(x_2^2x_4x_5^t)) = x_3x_4^{t+2}$. So, we now seek the smallest monomial $z_5(t) \ge x_2^2x_4x_5^t$ such that

(20)
$$\pi_4(\mu_5(u_0x_5^t, z_5(t))) = x_3x_4^{t+2}$$

To do that, we begin the upward path starting from $u_0 x_5^t = x_2^2 x_4 x_5^t$ and stop just before attaining the 4-target $x_3 x_4^{t+2}$ given by (20):

$$x_{2}^{2}x_{4}x_{5}^{t} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{4}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{4}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}x_{5}^{t} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}x_{4}^{t} \xrightarrow{x_{4}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{2}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t-1}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{2}x_{4}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{4}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{3}x_{5}^{t-2} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{x_{5}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{4}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{3}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{4}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{4}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{4}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{4}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{3}x_{5}^{t-1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t}x_{4} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{2}x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{2}x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{2}x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{2}x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{2}x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{2}x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} x_{5}^{t+1} \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t$$

At this point, the cost of the upward path from $x_2^2 x_4 x_5^t$ to $x_2^3 x_4^t$, i.e. the product of the costs of each arrow, is given by

$$\mu_5(x_2^2 x_4 x_5^t, x_2^3 x_4^t) = x_3 x_4^{t+1} x_5^{t+t+(t-1)+\dots+1}$$

That is, mapping x_5 to 1, we obtain

$$\pi_4(\mu_5(x_2^2x_4x_5^t, x_2^3x_4^t)) = x_3x_4^{t+1},$$

just below the 4-target (20). Reaching that target for the first time is achieved with one more elementary step:

$$x_2^3 x_4^t \xrightarrow{x_4} x_2^3 x_3 x_5^{t-1}.$$

Hence $x_2^3x_3x_5^{t-1}$ is the smallest monomial such that $x_2^3x_3x_5^{t-1} \geq x_2^2x_4x_5^t$ and

$$\pi_4(\mu_5(u_0x_5^t, x_2^3x_3x_5^{t-1})) = x_3x_4^{t+2}$$

as required by (20). We conclude that

$$z_5(t) = x_2^3 x_3 x_5^{t-1}$$

• We are now in a position to determine the polynomials h(t), k(t):

$$h(t) = \deg_{x_5} \mu_5(x_2^2 x_4 x_5^t, z_5(t)) = \binom{t+3}{2} - 3,$$

and $k(t) = \deg_{x_5} z(t) = t - 1$. Hence $\tau_5(x_2^2 x_4) = f(0) - h(0) - k(0) = 6$.

5. Application: the case $u_0 = x_2^d$

We now apply the above theory to determine the Gotzmann threshold of the monomial $u_0 = x_2^d$ in S_5 as a function of d. While our method works for any monomial in S_{n-1} , this particular case already concentrates all the complexity of the task while avoiding formulas with too many parameters as the one in (1) for $\tau_4(x_1^a x_2^b x_3^c)$.

Proposition 5.1. For all $n \ge 3$, we have

$$\operatorname{mg}_{n}(x_{2}^{d}) = x_{3}^{\binom{d}{2}} x_{4}^{\binom{d+1}{3}} x_{5}^{\binom{d+2}{4}} \cdots x_{n}^{\binom{d+n-3}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.7 and induction on d, using the following summation formula [12]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} k \binom{d+n-k-4}{n-3} = \binom{d+n-3}{n-1}.$$

Recall that the Gotzmann thresholds of x_2^d in S_3 and S_4 are given by the formulas

$$\tau_3(x_2^d) = \binom{d}{2},$$

$$\tau_4(x_2^d) = \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \frac{d+4}{3}\binom{d}{2},$$

from [15] and [5], respectively. Here is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. For all $d \geq 2$, the Gotzmann threshold of x_2^d in R_5 is given by

$$\tau_5(x_2^d) = \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{d}{2}}{2} - \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{3} + \binom{d+3}{4} - d$$

Proof. Fix $d \ge 2$. By the previous proposition for n = 5, we have

$$\mathrm{mg}_5(x_2^d) = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{f_4} x_5^{f_5},$$

where

$$f_3 = \binom{d}{2},$$

$$f_4 = \binom{d+1}{3},$$

$$f_5 = \binom{d+2}{4}.$$

Now, given $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{mg}_5(x_2^d x_5^t) = \sigma_5^t(\mathrm{mg}_5(x_2^d)) = x_3^{f_3(t)} x_4^{f_4(t)} x_5^{f_5(t)}$$

where

$$f_{3}(t) = f_{3} = \binom{d}{2},$$

$$f_{4}(t) = f_{3}t + f_{4},$$

$$f_{5}(t) = f_{3}\binom{t+1}{2} + f_{4}t + f_{5}.$$

As a first step, we seek the smallest t so that a monomial $z_4(t)$ exists with the property $z_4(t) > x_2^d x_5^t$ and $\pi_3(\mu_5(x_2^d x_5^t, z_4(t))) = x_3^{f_3}$. The various steps of the required path are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} x_{2}^{d}x_{5}^{t} & \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{t}} & \xrightarrow{x_{5}^{d}} & x_{2}^{d}x_{4}^{t} \\ x_{2}^{d}x_{4}^{t} & \xrightarrow{x_{4}^{t}x_{5}^{\binom{t}{2}}} & x_{2}^{d}x_{3}^{t} \\ x_{2}^{d}x_{3}^{t} & \xrightarrow{x_{3}^{f_{3}-1}x_{4}^{\binom{t}{2}-\binom{t-f_{3}+1}{2}}x_{5}^{\binom{t+1}{3}-\binom{t-f_{3}+2}{3}}} & x_{2}^{d+f_{3}-1}x_{3}^{t-f_{3}+1} \end{array}$$

The cost of the last step is justified by Proposition 4.8. At this point, the 3-target $x_3^{f_3}$ is almost reached. One last step will allow to reach that target:

$$x_2^{d+f_3-1}x_3^{t-f_3+1} \xrightarrow{x_3} x_2^{d+f_3}x_5^{t-f_3}.$$

Hence $z_4(t) = x_2^{d+f_3} x_5^{t-f_3}$, since this is the very first time we reach the 3-target $x_3^{f_3}$. The cost of the upward path from $x_2 x_5^t$ to $z_4(t)$ is given by the product of the respective costs of each of the above steps, i.e.

$$\mu_5(x_2^d x_5^t, z_4(t)) = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{t + \binom{t}{2} - \binom{t - f_3 + 1}{2}} x_5^{t + \binom{t}{2} + \binom{t+1}{3} - \binom{t - f_3 + 2}{3}} \\ = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{\binom{t+1}{2} - \binom{t - f_3 + 1}{2}} x_5^{\binom{t+2}{3} - \binom{t - f_3 + 2}{3}}.$$

Set $h_4(t) = \binom{t+1}{2} - \binom{t-f_3+1}{2}$. Thus

(21)
$$\mu_5(x_2^d x_5^t, z_4(t)) = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{h_4(t)} x_5^{\binom{t+2}{3} - \binom{t-f_3+2}{3}}.$$

By minimality of $z_4(t)$, we have $h_4(t) \leq f_4(t)$. We know that the difference $f_4(t) - h_4(t)$ is constant. Let us call this constant δ_4 , so that

$$\delta_4 = f_4(t) - h_4(t) = f_4(0) - h_4(0).$$

Since $h_4(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-f_3\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d+1\\ + \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1-f_3 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\delta_4 = \begin{pmatrix} d+1\\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1-f_3\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the formula $\binom{-a}{2} = \binom{a+1}{2}$, we get

$$\delta_4 = \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{f_3}{2}.$$

Using the formulas $\tau_3(x_2^d) = f_3 = {d \choose 2}$ and $\delta_4 = \tau_4(x_2^d) - \tau_3(x_2^d)$, we recover the formula from [5], namely

$$\tau_4(x_2^d) = \binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2}.$$

Using $h_4(t) = f_4(t) - \delta_4$, formula (21) becomes

$$\mu_5(x_2^d x_5^t, z_4(t)) = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{f_4(t) - \delta_4} x_5^{\binom{t+2}{3} - \binom{t-f_3+2}{3}}$$

Our next task is to find the smallest monomial $z_5(t)$ with the property

$$\pi_4(\mu_5(x_2^d x_5^t, z_5(t))) = \pi_4(\operatorname{mg}_5(x_2^d x_5^t)) = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{f_4(t)} = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{h_4(t) + \delta_4}$$

That is, we seek $z_5(t)$ so that $\pi_4(\mu_5(z_4(t), z_5(t))) = x_4^{\delta_4}$. To that end, let us continue the path from $z_4(t)$ on.

$$z_4(t) = x_2^{d+f_3} x_5^{t-f_3} \xrightarrow{x_5^{t-f_3}} x_2^{d+f_3} x_4^{t-f_3}$$
$$x_2^{d+f_3} x_4^{t-f_3} \xrightarrow{x_4^{\delta_4 - 1} x_5^{\binom{t-f_3}{2} - \binom{t-f_3 - \delta_4 + 1}{2}}} x_2^{d+f_3} x_3^{\delta_4 - 1} x_4^{t-f_3 - \delta_4 + 1}.$$

The cost of the last step is again justified by Proposition 4.8. The 4target is almost reached. One last step will allow to reach that target:

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^{d+f_3} x_3^{\delta_4 - 1} x_4^{t - f_3 - \delta_4 + 1} & \xrightarrow{x_4} & x_2^{d+f_3} x_3^{\delta_4} x_4^{t - f_3 - \delta_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_4(\mu_5(z_4(t), x_2^{d+f_3} x_3^{\delta_4} x_4^{t - f_3 - \delta_4})) = x_4^{\delta_4}$, we conclude
 $z_5(t) = x_2^{d+f_3} x_3^{\delta_4} x_4^{t - f_3 - \delta_4}. \end{aligned}$

The cumulative cost from $z_4(t)$ to $z_5(t)$ is

$$\mu_5(z_4(t), z_5(t)) = x_4^{\delta_4} x_5^{(t-f_3) + \binom{t-f_3}{2} - \binom{t-f_3 - \delta_4 + 1}{2}}.$$

Hence

$$\mu_5(x_2^d x_5^t, z_5(t)) = x_3^{f_3} x_4^{f_4(t)} x_5^{h_5(t)},$$

where

$$h_{5}(t) = \binom{t+2}{3} - \binom{t+2-f_{3}}{3} + (t-f_{3}) + \binom{t-f_{3}}{2} - \binom{t-f_{3}-\delta_{4}+1}{2} \\ = \binom{t+2}{3} - \binom{t+2-f_{3}}{3} + \binom{t+1-f_{3}}{2} - \binom{t-f_{3}-\delta_{4}+1}{2}.$$

Again, we know that $f_5(t) - h_5(t)$ is a constant, let us call it δ_5 . Thus

$$\delta_5 = f_5(t) - h_5(t) = f_5(0) - h_5(0).$$

We also know that $\delta_5 = \tau_5(x_2^d) - \tau_4(x_2^d)$. Now

$$f_5(0) = \binom{d+2}{4},$$

$$h_5(0) = -\binom{2-f_3}{3} + \binom{1-f_3}{2} - \binom{-f_3 - \delta_4 + 1}{2}.$$

Using the formulas $\binom{-a}{3} = -\binom{a+2}{3}$ and $\binom{-b}{2} = \binom{b+1}{2}$, we have

$$\delta_{5} = f_{5}(0) - h_{5}(0)$$

$$= \binom{d+2}{4} + \binom{2-f_{3}}{3} - \binom{1-f_{3}}{2} + \binom{1-f_{3}-\delta_{4}}{2}$$

$$= \binom{d+2}{4} - \binom{f_{3}}{3} - \binom{f_{3}}{2} + \binom{f_{3}+\delta_{4}}{2}$$

$$= \binom{d+2}{4} - \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{3} - \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \binom{\binom{d}{2}+\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2}}{2}.$$

We are now in a position to get an explicit formula for $\tau_5(x_2^d)$. We have $\tau_5(x_2^d) = \tau_4(x_2^d) + \delta_5$ $= \binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{d+2}{4} - \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{3} + \binom{\binom{d}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2}}{2}$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{d}{2} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \binom{d}{2} \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} d+3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} - d.$$

5.1. A conjecture. Recalling the formulas of $\tau_n(x_2^d)$ for n = 3, 4, 5, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3(x_2^d) &= \binom{d}{2}, \\ \tau_4(x_2^d) &= \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{d}{2}, \\ \tau_5(x_2^d) &= \binom{\binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \binom{d+1}{3} + \binom{d}{2}}{2} - \binom{\binom{d}{2}}{3} + \binom{d+3}{4} - d, \end{aligned}$$

we are led to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.3. For all $n \geq 3$, the Gotzmann threshold $\tau_n(x_2^d)$ is a polynomial of degree 2^{n-2} in d with dominant term

$$\frac{d^{2^{n-2}}}{2^{2^{n-2}-1}} = 2(d/2)^{2^{n-2}},$$

i.e. the dominant term of the (n-2)-iterated binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\binom{d}{2}}{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\tau_n(x_2^d)}{\binom{\tau_{n-1}(x_2^d)}{2}} = 1.$$

By the above formulas, the conjecture holds true for n = 3, 4, 5.

References

- ALBERELLI Davide and LELLA Paolo, Strongly stable ideals and Hilbert polynomials, J. Softw. Algebra Geom. 9 (2019) 1–9.
- [2] ARAMOVA Annette, AVRAMOV Luchezar L. and HERZOG Jürgen, Resolutions of monomial ideals and cohomology over exterior algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000) 579–594.

- [3] ARAMOVA Annette, HERZOG Jürgen and HIBI Takayuki, Gotzmann theorems for exterior algebras and combinatorics, J. Algebra 191 (1997) 174–211.
- [4] BONANZINGA Vittoria, Principal Borel ideals and Gotzmann ideals, Arch. Math. (Basel) 81 (2003) 385–396.
- [5] BONANZINGA Vittoria and ELIAHOU Shalom, Gotzmann monomials in four variables, Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina 62 (2021) 67–93. https: //doi.org/10.33044/revuma.v62n1a04.
- [6] FRANCISCO Christopher A., MERMIN Jeffrey and SCHWEIG Jay, Borel generators, J. Algebra 332 (2011) 522–542.
- [7] GOTZMANN Gerd, Eine Bedingung für die Flachheit und das Hilbertpolynomeines graduierten Ringes, Math. Z. 158 (1978) 61–70.
- [8] HERZOG Jürgen, Generic initial ideals and graded Betti numbers. Computational commutative algebra and combinatorics (Osaka, 1999), 75–120, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 33, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.
- [9] HOEFEL Andrew H., Gotzmann edge ideals, Communications in Algebra 40 (2012) 1222–1233.
- [10] HOEFEL Andrew H. and MERMIN Jeffrey, Gotzmann squarefree ideals, Illinois J. Math. 56 (2012) 397–414.
- [11] IONESCU Cristodor, Theorems of Green, Macaulay and Gotzmann (estimating the Hilbert function), Combinatorics in algebra and geometry (Eforie, 1998), 53–64. Sem. Ser. Math. Algebra, 2.
- [12] LOVÁSZ Laszlo, On the Shannon capacity of a graph, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 25 (1979) 1–7.
- [13] MURAI Satoshi and HIBI Takayuki, Gotzmann ideals of the polynomial ring, Math. Z. 260 (2008) 629–646.
- [14] MURAI Satoshi, A combinatorial proof of Gotzmann's persistence theorem for monomial ideals, Eur. J. Combinatorics 29 (2008) 322–333.
- [15] MURAI Satoshi, Gotzmann monomial ideals, Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007) 843–852.
- [16] OLTEANU Anda, OLTEANU Oana and SORRENTI Loredana, Gotzmann lexsegment ideals, Le Matematiche (Catania) 63 (2008) 229–241.
- [17] PIR Ata Firat and SEZER Müfit, Two remarks on monomial Gotzmann sets, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 216 (2012) 833–836.
- [18] SÆDÉN STÅHL Gustav, Gotzmann's persistence theorem for finite modules, J. Algebra 477 (2017) 278–293.

Authors addresses

- Vittoria BONANZINGA^{a,b},
- ^a Univ. Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria, DIIES
- ^b Via Graziella (Feo di Vito), 89100 Reggio Calabria, Italia vittoria.bonanzinga@unirc.it
- Shalom ELIAHOU^{a,b},

^aUniv. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 - LMPA - Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville, F-62100 Calais, France ^bCNRS, FR2037, France eliahou@univ-littoral.fr